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22 September 2007

Editor, The Washington Times

To the Editor:

Carl Henn makes two astonishing claims (Letters, Sept. 22). The first is that "our fuel of choice oil runs out at exactly the rate we use it." (M.A. Adelman, "The Real Oil Problem," Regulation, Vol 27, Spring 2004, p. 18.) Over the same time period, proved reserves in opec countries have nearly doubled from 412 billion barrels to 819 barrels. Clearly, we don't run out of oil "at the exact rate we use it."

According to MIT's M.A. Adelman, "At the end of 1970, non-opec countries had about 200 billion remaining in proved reserves. In the next 33 years, those countries produced 460 billion barrels and now have 209 billion 'remaining.' The producers kept using up their inventory, at a rate of about seven percent per year, and then replacing it." Is Mr. Henn content to "get along" also without these things?

Friends,

My GMU colleague Tyler Cowen, whose latest book is entitled Discover Your Inner Economist, was interviewed about some topics in the book on this morning's NPR program Morning Edition. Listen in!


Friends,
The world premier of Indoctrinate U is on Friday, September 28, at the Kennedy Center in D.C.

You can see a trailer here:

http://www.indoctrinate-u.com/intro/

and find info on the premier here:

http://indoctrinate-u.com/pages/screenings.html

I'll be there -- hope you can join me!

18 September 2007

Editor, The Wall Street Journal
200 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10281

To the Editor:

Fearful of commerce with China, Peter Navarro accuses free traders of neglecting "to note the high hidden costs of Chinese mercantilism in jobs lost, a significantly weakened American economy, and rising product safety concerns" (Letters, Sept. 18),

Concerns over the safety of products from China can be addressed in the same way that we address concerns over the safety of products from Canada and elsewhere - that is, without resort to protectionism.

As for alleged lost jobs and a weak American economy: what IS Prof. Navarro talking about? Today's unemployment rate is a low 4.6 percent; a rate that low was achieved in October 1973 and not achieved again until November 1997. And today's low rate is beneath the average rate for each of the last three decades.

Also, U.S. manufacturing output, revenues, profits, and exports each hit its all-time peak in 2006. Finally, real total compensation for non-supervisory workers is today at an all-time high.

Some weakening.

17 September 2007

The Editor, New York Times
229 West 43rd St.
New York, NY 10036

To the Editor:

Paul Krugman again writes as if it is unquestionably true that President Bush's fiscal irresponsibility lay in his tax cuts ("Sad Alan's Lament," Sept. 17). The facts cast doubt on this interpretation.

In 2006, inflation-adjusted federal revenues were six percent HIGHER than they were in 2001 (the year before Mr. Bush's tax cuts began kicking in). But over this same period inflation-adjusted federal outlays rose by more than 25 percent. The problem is not a decline in the amount of revenue being funneled to Washington. The problem - for which Mr. Bush bears much blame - is that politicians spend like drunken teenagers granted free use of their parents' credit cards.