• Welcome

On behalf of the faculty in Counselor Education and College Student Affairs, I would like to welcome you to the University of West Georgia (UWG) and to the doctoral program in Professional Counseling and Supervision. We are excited to have you here and look forward to working with you during this time of growth and continued professional development.

The Ed.D. in Professional Counseling and Supervision is an applied doctorate with an emphasis on the application of knowledge through continued practice. Beginning with 2014, a new cohort will be admitted each summer. The 36-hour program is completed in two years of continuous enrollment in a completely on-line format. Two doctoral-level courses are offered each term in a fully on line format. The program builds upon a strong foundation developed through previous graduate education and professional experience.

General program information, policies, and procedures are included in this handbook and are intended to provide guidance as you progress through the program. The faculty and staff are pleased that you have selected UWG for the pursuit of your doctoral degree. We are committed to making this experience a hallmark of your professional career.

Sincerely,

Mark Parrish, Department Chair
Clinical and Professional Studies
Faculty

Following is a list of counseling program faculty members who may serve on doctoral students’ committees. Additionally, a number of faculty in related areas or who share student interests may be asked to serve. Doctoral students should work with their advisors to identify additional committee members.

BOES, SUSAN R., Professor
B.A. Mary Manse College
M.Ed. Auburn University
Ph.D. Auburn University

BRONKEMA, RYAN, Assistant Professor
B.B.A. Western Michigan University
M.S. The University of Wisconsin
Ph.D. Bowling Green State University

CHIBBARO, JULIA S., Professor.
B.A. Randolph-Macon, Women’s College
M.Ed. The Citadel
Ed.S. & Ph.D. University of South Carolina

LAND, CHRISTY W., Assistant Professor
B.A. Lynchburg College
M.A. Marymount University
Ph.D. The University of Georgia.

PARRISH, MARK S., Associate Professor, Department Chair, and Ed.D. Coordinator
B.B.A Armstrong State College
M.Ed., Ed.S. University of West Georgia
Ph.D. Auburn University

PERJESSY, CAROLINE CECILE, Assistant Professor
B.A. Youngstown State University
MSED Youngstown State University
Ph.D. Kent State University

RICKS, LACEY A., Assistant Professor
B.S. Georgia Southern University
M.Ed. Georgia Southern University
Ph.D. Auburn University

UWAMAHORO, OLIVIA, Assistant Professor
B.A. University of Tennessee
M.Ed. The University of Memphis
Ph.D. University of Central Florida
VARGA, MATT, Assistant Professor
B.A. Christopher Newport University
M.S. & Ph.D. University of Tennessee

WHISENHUNT, JULIA, Assistant Professor
B.A. State University of West Georgia
M.A. & E.S. University of West Georgia
Ph.D. Georgia State University
Mission Statement

The Mission of the Professional Counseling and Supervision program (Ed.D.) is to prepare counseling professionals to positively impact their clients and communities of service through effective practices and programs, leadership, and advocacy.

Program Goals

As a result of participating in the Doctoral Program in Professional Counseling and Supervision at the University of West Georgia, students will:

1. Develop a critical understanding of counseling, supervision, evaluation, and social change theories and research that support advanced practices in counseling, supervision, program evaluation, and advocacy.

2. Provide competent and ethical counseling, supervision, program evaluation, and advocacy services in their respective specialty areas.

3. Provide leadership in the design and implementation of advocacy efforts to improve access and opportunity for persons who are marginalized and underserved.

4. Evaluate programs in their respective specialties and use outcomes of evaluations to enhance programs and services and to advocate for the profession.

5. Actively participate in professional activities that lead to advancement of the counseling profession.
STUDENT ADVISEMENT

Initial Advising of Doctoral Students

Advisors will be assigned to students as they are admitted based on an initial impression of shared interests and faculty resources. Students may, however, identify other faculty with whom they would like to work in an advisory role and it is perfectly acceptable to request a change as long as all parties (current advisor, program director, proposed advisor) agree that it would be in the best interest of the student. A request that the Director facilitate the change to a different full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty member in the counseling program may be made after the advisor and student have discussed the possibility of such a change. Normally such requests for a change in faculty advisors will be granted unless there is no other full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty member willing or available to advise the student.

Prior to the student enrolling in his or her first semester, the Director will determine which prerequisite courses have been completed and which must be taken as a part of the student’s doctoral program. By the end of the student’s first semester in the program, the Director will establish the student’s program of study, which will include a listing of all required courses, an indication of which semesters the student will complete those courses, and a schedule for completing all other requirements for the Ed.D. There is an expectation that all students making satisfactory progress will complete the degree requirements in two years of full-time study (6 credits). A checklist containing tasks that must be completed during the term of enrollment is located in Appendix A.

The Doctoral Program Advisor

Doctoral program advisors ensure that students have developed a reasonable plan for completing their degree programs, and that students complete and file all forms necessary to complete the degree program. In addition, doctoral program advisors may serve as mentors for students by assisting them in further developing their identity as professional counselors and adopting professional approaches to their work and interactions with others. And, the doctoral program advisor serves as chair of the dissertation committee. The doctoral program advisor must be a full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty member who is a member of the Counselor Education and College Student Affairs faculty.

Doctoral program advisors serve a number of important functions for doctoral students and should be consulted by students if they have questions or problems related to their academic programs. While doctoral program advisors answer questions related to program requirements, students are also expected to read the UWG graduate catalog and this handbook to ask well-informed questions.
Faculty advisors and the Program Director complete and sign a number of important documents related to a student’s academic program. Students are responsible for meeting with their faculty advisors to have documents completed and filed on their behalf. Students should be provided with a copy of all documents completed by their faculty advisors and should request such copies for their own files if they are not offered.

The Dissertation Committee

The dissertation committees include the doctoral program advisor, who chairs the committee, and two additional full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty members, one of whom must be a member of the counseling program faculty. After a student and his or her doctoral program advisor have determined which two eligible faculty members will be invited to serve on the student’s doctoral advising committee, the student asks the faculty members if they are willing to serve and reports the response of the faculty members to their doctoral program advisor. The doctoral student will then complete and forward to the Program Director the Dissertation Committee Approval Form.

One of the unique features of this program is the development of the dissertation proposal through embedded assignments in a number of courses in which students enroll during their first year. Students who are actively working to develop a proposal which they will submit to their committees for approval at the beginning of year two, will work with their advisors to refine the proposal. Specifically, through coursework students will develop the description of the program to be evaluated, the literature review in support of the program, and a proposed evaluation method. Each of these assignments corresponds to a section of the dissertation. After each assignment has been completed, reviewed by course instructors, and revised according to instructor feedback, that chapter will be submitted to the advisor for further feedback and refinement. Should conflicts between the student, advisor, and course instructor arise, the student will schedule a meeting with the two faculty members and the program director to resolve any differences of opinion about how to continue with the evaluation study.

The dissertation chairperson directs the dissertation process, and oversees completion of the required paperwork (www.westga.edu/eddpcs) associated with the dissertation and graduation, including the following:

- Dissertation Committee Approval Form;
- Dissertation Proposal Approval Form;
- Results of Dissertation Defense Form;
- Graduate Studies forms related to graduation; and,
- UWG Dissertation Formatting Guidelines
**CURRICULUM**

Courses are offered 100% online. Courses are only offered once during a two-year cycle of enrollment; therefore, it is important that students enroll as specified in the chart below.

Should it be necessary to revise the sequence, it will be done in such a way as to ensure students are able to complete the degree program in the two year period, assuming all prerequisites have been met.

**Sequence of Coursework**

**Year One**

| Summer       | CEPD 9171 Program Evaluation and  
|              | CEPD 9184 Seminar I: Professional Issues *or*  
|              | CEPD 9185 Seminar II: Advocacy  
| Fall         | CEPD 9183 Directed Doctoral Research *and*  
|              | EDSI 9961 Quantitative Research  
| Spring       | EDSI 9962 Qualitative Methods *and*  
|              | CEPD 9186 Internship (Program Evaluation)  

**Year Two**

| Summer       | CEPD 9184 Seminar I: Professional Issues *or*  
|              | CEPD 9185 Seminar II: Advocacy  
|              | CEPD 9999 Dissertation (3)  
| Fall         | CEPD 9187 Supervision and  
|              | CEPD 9199 Dissertation (3)  
| Spring       | CEPD 9199 Dissertation (3)  
|              | CEPD 9186 Internship (Administrative Supervision)  

TRANSITION POINTS & ASSESSMENT

An integral part of the doctoral program is the ongoing assessment of student learning outcomes to determine whether program goals are being achieved. The evaluation plan is located in Appendix B. Each of the major transition points experienced by students in the program is associated with a key assessment.

Student assessment data are maintained via subscriptions to TK20, the Assessment and Data Management System to which all students subscribe. Students may subscribe directly on line at https://westga.tk20.com or may buy a subscription pack at the University bookstore.

The counseling program faculty in a meeting scheduled for this purpose reviews each student’s progress annually. The basis for the review shall be the material submitted by the student, grades and performance on key assessments, progress toward completion, and observations/measures of student dispositions. Each student will receive a report of the review and, if warranted, will work with his/her advisor to develop an improvement plan to remediate deficiencies. Improvement plans will be specific and will include a timeline for demonstration of specified outcomes in the area of remediation. As well, the consequences for continued under performance or dispositional concerns will be specified and may include further remediation or dismissal from the program.

- **Program Entry:** To qualify for admission to this program, candidates will have successfully completed requirements for an education specialist degree, *(or the equivalent master’s plus 30)* hold the credentials necessary to practice counseling in his or her specialty area (e.g. license, certificate), and have earned a master’s degree in a program accredited by the Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP).

Each application is reviewed using a rubric that includes basic qualifications as well as additional credentials or accomplishments that may distinguish applicants evaluates applications. Qualified applicants are invited to interview with an admissions committee at which time applicant responses to a predetermined set of questions is rated by the interviewers.

**Dissertation Completion:** At the end of the second year of enrollment, candidates will submit and orally defend the evaluation study conducted to satisfy the requirement for completion of a
dissertation. Again, the document is released by the chair, uploaded in Tk20 by the student, and reviewed by all using the 4-point rubric. Dissertations must receive ratings of 2 or higher from all committee members to continue to a final oral.

**Oral Defense of the Dissertation:** With the agreement of committee members that the dissertation is complete, the candidate presents the results of the study and responds to questions from committee members during a formal oral examination. Candidates must achieve ratings of 2 or more from all examining committee members to pass the examination.

**DOCTORAL PROGRAM POLICIES**

Students follow policies and procedures outlined in the Graduate Catalog. The catalog will be located on the University of West Georgia website. In addition, there are some program specific policies that apply to the doctoral program.

**ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Students seeking endorsements from program faculty related to jobs, credentials, or other professional activities will receive endorsements that reflect only the expertise they gained during their doctoral program.

**GRADING POLICY**

**Grading scale**
The doctoral program follows the standard graduate grading policy of the University: A (90-100%), B (80-89%), C (70-79%), and F (69% or below). Each course syllabus sets the standard for academic grades. Class attendance is required, as specified by each course instructor.

Students must maintain a semester average of at least 3.0 on a 4.0-point scale. Additionally, the expectation is held that doctoral students demonstrate superior academic performance. Consequently, a student who earns two Cs during the course of study or an F in a course will be dismissed from the program. Students who earn one C and still maintain a 3.0 GPA will be placed on academic probation and work with her/his advisor to design a Student Development Plan (see Appendix A), to remediate deficiencies.
Grades reported as incomplete

The grade of Incomplete (I) is assigned at the discretion of the professor ONLY when it is determined that a student is unable to complete some portion of the assigned work in a course for nonacademic reasons beyond his/her control. Reasons include family hardship, illness, accident, or verified disability. In the event one of these circumstances apply, the student should notify the professor and program director immediately. Notification must be given in writing before the end of the term. The professor and program director will determine, based on the nature of the condition and the uncompleted requirements, the additional time allowed for completing the work before a permanent grade is assigned. An incomplete grade reverts to a grade of F if the required work is not completed within the specified time not to exceed one academic year. A grade of I is not computed in the calculation of a student’s grade point average.

In most cases, receiving an Incomplete in one or more courses could remove a student from his or her cohort. If this occurs, the student will have to restart the sequence where he or she received the incomplete. The program director will determine when the student may reenter the program, which can occur no sooner than one year after the student is removed from the cohort. In this case, the student will resume courses with a new cohort based on availability of space within the subsequent cohorts.

Please note: Pursuit of the doctoral degree requires students to complete rigorous, time and labor-intensive assignments, and engage in studies in depth. All those who enter doctoral programs should have considered whether their life circumstances permit them to complete such programs. Grades of Incomplete are not assigned because students have not adequately planned for the amount of time commitment necessary to complete requirements within the overall context of other life roles and responsibilities.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

In the case of unusual personal or professional circumstances, the student may request a Leave of Absence from the program. The request should be developed in cooperation with the program director, using the Request for Leave Form (see Appendix D). The director may consult with the student’s advisory committee to determine whether leave should be granted. The student must be in academic and professional good standing at the time the request for leave is made.

To be considered for readmission, the student submits in writing a request for readmission along with a professional development plan indicating how he/she intends to meet program requirements. The request should be
submitted to the program director by 60 days prior to the beginning of the semester during which the student wishes to reenter the program. An interview may be conducted to determine if the student is ready to reenter the program. If the student is readmitted, he/she will be assigned to the next available cohort starting at the beginning of the term following the last completed term, if space is available. *Students’ whose leaves extend beyond two academic years are required to reapply to the program and may or may not be readmitted.*

**APPEALS PROCEDURE and COMMITTEE**

Students have the right to appeal grades or decisions by the program faculty if they think they have been discriminated against, that their due process has been violated, or that policies have not been equally or equitably applied. The procedures for appeals and other academic policies are elaborated at the university website [http://www.westga.edu/~handbook/index.php?page=appendix](http://www.westga.edu/~handbook/index.php?page=appendix)

*Grade Appeals*

Doctoral-level instructional faculty has the responsibility of evaluating students by standards and a grading system that is publicized and known to the students. The responsibility for determining the grades for each student rests on the faculty member assigned to teach the course.

A student who feels the grade assigned for the class is arbitrary or unfair is entitled to appeal the grade. Appeals should follow the procedures as outlined in the *UWG Connection and Student Handbook* ([http://www.westga.edu/handbook/64.php](http://www.westga.edu/handbook/64.php)).

*Appeals of Student Review Decisions*

A student who feels that a decision by the Counseling Faculty is arbitrary or unfair is entitled to appeal the decision. Appeals must be submitted in writing with a rationale for the appeal to the director by 30 days after notification of the faculty’s recommendations. Subsequent appeals, if necessary, are made to the Department Chair, Dean of the College of Education, Vice President for Academic Affairs, and the Senate Academic Policies and Procedures Committee, as outlined in the *UWG Connection and Student Handbook*.

All appeals of program faculty decisions, regardless of their nature, shall be concluded by the semester after cause for the appeal occurred. Appeals regarding other issues in the doctoral program shall also follow this procedure and timeline.
ACADEMIC HONESTY STATEMENT

Doctoral students are expected to adhere to the highest standards of academic honesty throughout the program.

Plagiarism occurs when a student represents words, ideas, or information obtained from another person or source without giving credit. Direct quotations must be indicated and ideas of another must be appropriately acknowledged.

Academic fraud occurs when a student intends to deceive or misrepresent ideas, information, or him/herself. Academic fraud also occurs when a student uses or buys ghost-written papers or papers developed by another.

Improper academic conduct on the part of the student shall include, but not be limited to: obtaining and/or using information during an examination by means other than those permitted by the instructor, supplying of such information to other students; submitting through electronic media work that is not the work of the student, such as having another individual post work to CourseDen sites in the name of the student; and submitting work that is ghostwritten or bought from any source.

All forms of academic dishonesty, including but not limited to cheating, plagiarism, and falsification of academic records, are subject to disciplinary action.

Incidents of academic dishonesty will be treated as described in the UWG Connection and Student Handbook (http://www.westga.edu/handbook/59.php). Additionally, the counseling program faculty will review the circumstances of the incident and recommend action up to and including dismissal from the program.

ADMISSION TO CANDIDACY

Admission to Candidacy by the University

In order for a student to be recommended for admission to candidacy for the doctoral degree, one condition must be met: an approved dissertation proposal must be completed.
THE DISSERTATION

Enrolling for Dissertation Credit

Students are required to complete a minimum of 9 hours of dissertation credit but may exceed this amount, if necessary. Enrollment begins in the summer of year two of study and continues until the dissertation has been completed.

The dissertation is partially completed during enrollment in specified courses and is viewed at UWG as a collaborative process. Students are responsible for initiating communication and working collaboratively with the dissertation chairperson and committee in identifying goals and timelines for the completion of the dissertation. Students are also expected to provide documents to the committee well before meetings and deadlines and should expect to receive feedback in a timely but realistic manner.

Oral Presentation of the Dissertation Proposal

Once the student has completed the dissertation prospectus or proposal, the dissertation chair and student will schedule the proposal defense with the committee. The program assistant schedules this meeting. The dissertation proposal form should be provided to the chair by the student and completed and signed at the conclusion of the proposal defense.

Prior to the conduct of research, students must also obtain approval of the review boards of the University and the site at which the program evaluation will be conducted. These approvals are sought after the student has received committee approval to begin the research.

Students are responsible for receiving approval by the Institutional Review Board as part of the proposal process, before beginning their dissertation research. Forms can be obtained at http://www.westga.edu/oso/. Additionally, students are required to complete the CITI training as described on the ORSO website. CITI training is intended to prepare students with the knowledge needed to responsibly conduct research. Finally, when the research has been completed, a Research Completion Form must be completed and submitted to the UWG IRB.

Oral Defense of the Dissertation

Once the dissertation is completed in acceptable form and approved by the dissertation committee, the oral defense of the dissertation may be
scheduled. The defense must be scheduled no later than two weeks before the due date, for the student to graduate during that term.

The program assistant will schedule dissertation defenses to be held on an approved, web-based meeting space. Prior to the defense, the student must provide the chair with the *Report of the Oral Defense Form*, and must apply for graduation according to the deadlines indicated on the Registrar’s web site. Considering the results of the oral defense of the dissertation, committee members will arrange to provide required signatures.

**Submitting the Dissertation**
The student has full responsibility for insuring all copies of the dissertation are correct in content and form.

**Format Review**
Compare the formatting of the required Content Pages to that of the sample Content Pages included in the appendix of the UWG Thesis and Dissertation handbook. Before uploading to ProQuest, confirmation from the Dissertation Chair of a successful defense and a signed copy of the format review form is required. *(Must Use UWG Thesis & Dissertation Handbook guidelines for formatting)*

**GRADUATION**

Each candidate must apply for graduation the semester before his or her anticipated graduation. Candidates should work with the Registrar’s office to identify and complete necessary paperwork. All forms should be completed in cooperation with the candidate’s advisor, and then submitted to the director for signature and forwarding to the Registrar’s office. These forms are available located online on Banweb.
APPENDIX A

Program Checklist
Professional Counseling and Supervision, Ed. D.
University of West Georgia

Doctoral Program Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Date Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year one</td>
<td>Become familiar with degree requirements as published in the Doctoral Student Handbook and Graduate Bulletin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, year one</td>
<td>Identify any missing prerequisite courses and complete plan of study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, years one and two</td>
<td>Submit annual progress report to advisor by April 1through Tk20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, years one and two</td>
<td>Meet with Advisor regarding student review by June 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year one</td>
<td>Establish an advisory committee; submit approval form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall, Year two</td>
<td>Complete advisor-approved dissertation proposal and schedule a proposal meeting; Submit dissertation approval form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall, year two</td>
<td>Complete IRB and other necessary permissions to conduct evaluation study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years one and two</td>
<td>Complete coursework as indicated in plan of study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year two</td>
<td>Submit application for graduation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring or Summer Year two</td>
<td>Schedule and hold final oral examination (dissertation defense)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, Year two</td>
<td>GRADUATE!!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Any changes must be submitted to the program director, signed and dated by the candidate, the advisor, and the director
APPENDIX B

Assessment Plan
### Program Evaluation Plan

**Professional Counseling & Supervision, Ed.D.**

#### Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLO 1</th>
<th>SLO 2</th>
<th>SLO 3</th>
<th>SLO 4:</th>
<th>SLO 5</th>
<th>SLO 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Candidates will demonstrate knowledge and skills of administrative supervision and consultation by supervising a program evaluation team.</td>
<td>Candidates will complete and submit a professional association program proposal or manuscript addressing professional development needs of peers in the context of a current trend in counseling.</td>
<td>Candidates will design a program evaluation that, when conducted, will address specific evaluation questions in a scientifically credible manner.</td>
<td>Candidates will establish the evaluability of a program, develop an evaluation study design, conduct an evaluation study, report results and make appropriate recommendations for program improvement.</td>
<td>Candidates will develop advocacy plans to improve access and opportunity for persons in their systems of care who are marginalized and underserved.</td>
<td>Candidates will develop a well-integrated, comprehensive review of the literature sufficient to support the evaluation of a program implemented in their respective work settings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Assessment Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEPD 9186: Administrative Supervision Internship Rubric (mid-program)</th>
<th>CEPD 9184: Professional Issue Project Rubric (mid-program)</th>
<th>CEPD 9186: Program Evaluation Internship Rubric (Mid-program)</th>
<th>CEPD 9171: Evaluability Assessment Internship Rubric (early program)</th>
<th>CEPD 9184: Advocacy Intervention Rubric (early program)</th>
<th>CEPD 9183: Literature Review (mid-program)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEPD 9199: Dissertation Rubric (program exit)</td>
<td>CEPD 9186: Program Evaluation Internship (mid-program)</td>
<td>CEPD 9199: Dissertation Rubric (mid-program)</td>
<td>CEPD 9199: Dissertation Rubric (program exit)</td>
<td>CEPD 9199: Dissertation Rubric (program exit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

Leave Request
Name ___________________________ Date of this request __________________________

Date admitted to the program __________________________

I hereby request a leave from the Professional Counseling & Supervision program at the University of West Georgia for the following reason:

_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________

I accept the responsibility for submitting in writing a request for a readmission interview with the Program director in order to determine my readiness for readmission to the program. This request must be made by at least 60 days prior to semester of proposed reentry.

I understand that I must be in academic and professional good standing at the time of my request and that I will be assigned to the next available cohort on a space available basis.

______ Approved ___________________________ _____ Denied ___________________________

Student Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________

Program Director Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Professional Development Plan
Ed.D. in Professional Counseling and Supervision

Issues to be addressed per outcome of student review committee:

Step 1: Professional development goals related to the issues above should be clearly stated and measurable. They should each specify what change is required, the strategies/activities that will be implemented in an effort to achieve the goals, and how and when the change will be assessed.

Step 2: The committee-approved plan should be forwarded to the Ed. D. Program Director and will be placed in the student’s file.

Step 3: A report detailing the outcomes of the plan and further recommendations of the student’s advisory committee will be submitted to the Program Director according to the timeline specified for the plan’s completion.

Step 4: If the plan has been successfully implemented and the advisory committee is satisfied that remediation has occurred, the report will be filed and no further action will be warranted. If the committee has further recommendations regarding the student’s progress, a program faculty meeting will be called to recommend further action.

1.
2.
3.

Student Signature & Date
Advisor Signature & Date
Committee Member Signature & Date
Committee Member Signature & Date
Ed.D. Program Director Signature & Date
Appendix E

Dissertation Guidance Documents
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses with Dissertation Assignments</th>
<th>Specific Assignments and Expectations</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CEPD 9179: Program Evaluation         | • Identification of Programs to Evaluate  
 • Evaluability Assessment | Students will have program description completed and evidence of potential for effectiveness established by the end of the first term of enrollment. |        |
| CEPD 9183: Directed Research          | • Clear, measurable evaluation questions  
 • Identification of data sources  
 • Scientifically sound evaluation procedures, methods, and realistic timeline  
 • Draft of Literature Review | Students will have a well-designed program evaluation plan ready for review by the dissertation committee no later than October 1 of the second fall term of enrollment. | Graduates of the Ed. D. program will conduct and use program evaluations to improve lives of those with whom they work. |
| CEPD 9999: Dissertation                | • Proposal Defense  
 • IRB Approval(s)  
 • Data Collection | Students will have the data needed to answer all evaluation questions by the end of the second fall term of enrollment. |        |
| CEPD 9999: Dissertation                | • Data Analysis  
 • Answers to Evaluation Questions  
 • Data-driven Recommendations | Students will have a complete Program Evaluation Report no later than the end of the second spring term of enrollment. |        |
| CEPD 9999: Dissertation                | • Defense of Program Evaluation Dissertation | Students will have dissertations approved by their committee and final copies submitted to the graduate studies office no later than July 15 of the graduating term. |        |