Meeting was called to order at 1:35 p.m. January 23, 2009 in Adamson 127.

Agenda Item #1 – Approval of December 5, 2009 Minutes
Minutes approved with corrections.

Agenda Item #2 – Update on Academic Standards Change and Re-Instatement Policy (Scot Lingrell)
A new category of academic standing was proposed at the Dec 5 meeting. See Academic Standards handout.

Discussion

- Perry requested numbers regarding how many students this proposed change will impact.
- This essentially creates two terms of probation. Students do not have the option of returning to academic warning, but could return to Academic Probation.
- Minimum GPA requirements need to reflect the GPA requirements for the number of hours, minimum term GPA is 2.0.
- Departments can require additional intervention.
- General Re-Instatement Policy: revisit at a later date.

Scot will be requesting for Committee approval of the Academic Standing Policy at the next meeting.

Agenda Item #3 – Progress Report from Study Group on “Attendance policy for on-line, blended, and e-core courses”
Addressed in re-instatement policy
**Agenda Item #4 – Progress Report on Disruptive Student Policy**
Still awaiting news from Burns Newsome (System Office).

**Agenda Item #5 – Progress Report on New Student Evaluation Instrument**
No chair of the sub-committee, therefore, no one was contacted about meeting.

VPAA (Michael Aldrich): Rumors have been circulating about putting evaluations online, there has been additional interest in creating a stronger document.

Michael will send feedback to Angela.

Perry suggested that a prototype be posted on the VPAA website to garner feedback rather than attend department meetings.

**Agenda Item #6 – Program Modifications for English/Philosophy via UAPC**
Policies presented to UAPC by the Department of English/Philosophy included policies that violate university policy, therefore, the proposed changes were sent to AP&P. Issues include the following: (1) plagiarism policy, (2) dismissal from program for high number of Ws, etc. (Policy author: Debra McComb)

Issues may be addressed with a pre-major.

Policies are perceived as punitive against the student, not geared toward helping students (Aldrich).

Policies were not designed to be punitive but were designed to enhance the atmosphere in the classroom(s) and in the major. (Insenga)

Michael Aldrich will meet with Denise Overfield and Randy.

**Agenda Item #7 – Other Business**
None

Meeting adjourned at 2:25 p.m.