

**UNIVERSITY OF WEST GEORGIA
Faculty Development Committee
Meeting Minutes**

DATE: 10/12/12

MEMBERS ATTENDING: Walter Riker, Mary Kassis, Rebecca Harrison, Myrna Gantner, Michael Keim (chair)

| Agenda | Discussion | Action |
|---|--|---|
| Meeting called to order A. Dean's Evaluation Revisions | | 2:00pm |
| I. Orientation - Recommendation 1 | Defining "great dean-ness" could be problematic due to lack of research base and vagueness of term. This would bring in external discussions of what "dean-ness" means; should be confined to what the dean was actually agreed upon when hired. | Agreed with self-analysis, including points b-e in Recommendation 1 |
| II. Scope - Recommendation 2 | Review committee should be provided with budget | Agreed with Recommendation 2 |
| III. Methodology - Recommendation 3 | Numerous methodological considerations for data collection process | See attachment: Evaluation of Academic Deans – (Suggested Revisions 10.12.12) |
| IV. Analytical Considerations – Recommendation 4 | Reviewed and agreed with recommendation concerning ability to synthesize data while ensuring the integrity of the process by including all data in an appendix. | Agreed with Recommendation 4; see 104.0601, F.2. |
| V. Reporting – Recommendation 5 | Suggested the report in its entirety be forwarded to the dean being reviewed and to the Provost, but that the synthesized report be disseminated to the faculty. | Open for continuing discussion. |
| VI. Workload – Recommendation 6 | Review Committee service should be considered a major service to the university in terms of merit, promotion, tenure, and post-tenure review. | Needs to be stated in handbook? |
| Meeting Adjourned | | 3:30pm |