University of West Georgia
Faculty Senate Meeting
Minutes

November 11, 2011
Approved December 9, 2011

1. The meeting convened at 3:00 pm in room 1-303 of the Technology-enhanced Learning Center and called to order by Will Lloyd, officiating for Chair Chris Huff.

2. Roll Call
   - Andrea Standfield for Anne Barnhart
   - Jim Yoder for Charles Hodges
   - Michael Hopper for Kathy Moffeit
   - Phyllis Snipes for Abbot Packard
   - Michelle Trottman Scott for Ravic Ringlaben
   - Clint Samples for Joey Hannaford (Conference)

Not in Attendance:
   - Heidi Banford
   - Neal Chesnut
   - Michael DeNie
   - Elizabeth Kramer
   - Nancy Pencoe

3. Approval of the minutes of the October 14, 2011 meeting.

Minutes were approved as read.

4. Committee Reports

Committee I: Undergraduate Programs Committee (Chair, Dr. Camilla Gant)

Action Items:

A) College of Arts and Humanities
   1) Foreign Languages and Literatures
      a) Minor in Gender and Sexuality Studies
         Request: Add
         Action: Approved
         Recommend that an approved list of elective courses is submitted, including specific titles for Special Topics. This process will ensure that students receive credit for approved courses without the inconvenience of securing petitions; and will serve as a record that specific programs have approved their course(s) to support the minor, i.e., agree to provide seats for the minor, particularly if programs have major restrictions.
Item A approved.

B) College of Education
   1) Department of Leadership and Applied Instruction
      a) Post-baccalaureate initial Certification in Middle Grades Education
         Request: Deactivate
         Action: Approved
         Rationale: Market demand is for candidates not only for a candidate with certification, but with a Master of Education degree and more content specialization than the middle-degree certification alone provides. Students will be encouraged to pursue the UWG MAT in Secondary Education in lieu of this degree. This action will accomplish both of the above goals.

Item B approved.

Information Items:

A) Proposal for creating the XIDS Subcommitee

B) College of Sciences and Mathematics
   1) Department of Biology
      a) Bachelor of Science with a Major in Biology
         Request: Modify
         Action: Approved

C) College of Social Sciences
   1) Department of Mass Communications
      a) COMM 4421N – Practicum - The West Georgian
         Request: Modify (title, credit, description, prerequisite)
         Action: Approved

      b) COMM 4421P – Practicum - Student-Managed Public Relations Firm
         Request: Modify (title, credit, description, prerequisite)
         Action: Approved

      c) COMM 4421R – Practicum – The WOLF Internet Radio
         Request: Modify (title, credit, description, prerequisite)
         Action: Approved

      d) COMM 4421T – Practicum – UTV13
         Request: Modify (title, credit, description, prerequisite)
         Action: Approved

B) Richards College of Business
   1) Department of Marketing and Real Estate
a) Bachelor of Business Administration with a Major in Marketing (Add MKTG 4861 to marketing minor for non-business majors)
Request: Modify
Action: Approved

D) School of Nursing
a) NURS 2101 - Pathophysiology and Pharmacology I
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

b) NURS 2102 - Pathophysiology and Pharmacology II
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

c) NURS 3000 - Holistic Health Assessment
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

d) NURS 3101 - Professional Nursing Concepts I
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

e) NURS 3102 - Professional Nursing Concepts II
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

f) NURS 3201 - Health Care of the Client I
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

g) NURS 3202 - Health Care of the Client II
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

h) NURS 3301 - Clinical Practice I
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

i) NURS 3302 - Clinical Practice II
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved

j) NURS 3400 - Nursing Research and Evidence-Based Practice
Request: Add (review attachment)
Action: Approved
Committee II: Graduate Programs Committee (Chair, Susan Ashford)

Action Item:

A) Academic Standards for Graduate Programs

B) Motion to approve Time Limits to Complete a Graduate degree

Time Limits to Complete A Graduate Degree

It is expected that a student will complete the degree program with reasonable continuity.

- Degree programs in the College of Education must be completed within seven years.
- The Ph.D. in Psychology: Consciousness and Society program must be completed within eight years.
- All other graduate degree programs must be completed within six years.

A student called into military service or a student with extraordinary circumstances may apply for an extension of time. The student should submit the Degree Time Limit Extension Form and a letter of appeal to the director of his or her graduate degree program. The time limit exception must be approved by both the Program Director and Director of Graduate Studies in the college or school.

Request was made to make the Degree Time Limit Extension Form an active link that takes the reader to the form.

Motion approved by voice vote

C) College of Education

1) COE Doctoral
   a) Program: Doctor of Education with a Major in School Improvement
      Request: Modify
      Action: Approved

   b) EDSI-9923 Leadership for Diversity in the 21st Century
      Request: Add
      Action: Approved

   c) EDSI-9925 Policy Analysis for School Improvement
      Request: Add
      Action: Approved

   d) EDSI-9933 Leadership for Change
      Request: Add
      Action: Approved

   e) EDSI-9963 Action Research for Change I
Request: Add
Action: Approved

f) EDSI-9964 Action Research II
Request: Add
Action: Approved

g) EDSI-9998 Research for Doctoral Dissertation
Request: Add
Action: Approved

h) EDSI-9942 Instructional Leadership that Facilitates School Improvement
Request: Add
Action: Approved

i) EDSI-9943 Models of Professional Development
Request: Add
Action: Approved

Items C.1.a-i approved as a block.

2) Department of Leadership and Applied Instruction
   a) SEED 7288 - Teaching Internship
      Request: Add
      Action: Approved

Item approved.

D) College of Social Sciences
   1) Department of Psychology
      a) Doctor of Philosophy with a Major in Psychology: Consciousness and Society
         Request: Modify (Modify time to complete)
         Action: Approved

      b) PSYC-8007 Foundations of Critical Psychology
         Request: Add
         Action: Approved

Items D.1.a-b approved as a block.

2) Department of Sociology
   a) Program: Master of Arts with a Major in Sociology
      Request: Modify
      Action: Approved
b) SOCI-5132 Human Life Cycle and Cross-Cultures  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

c) SOCI-5153 Women and Aging  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

d) SOCI-5182 Aging Families  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

e) SOCI-5203 Women in American Society  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

f) SOCI-5204 Women in American Society  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

g) SOCI-5513 Comparative Social Psychology  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

h) SOCI-5913 Sociology of Everyday Life  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

i) SOCI-6241 Legal Theories  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

j) SOCI-6250 The Color of Justice  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

k) SOCI-6342 Crisis Intervention  
   Request: Delete  
   Action: Approved  

*Items D.2.a-k approved as a block.*

**Committee IV: Academic Policies Committee (Chair, Robert Kilpatrick)**

**Action Items:**
A) The Academic Policies Committee requests that the Faculty Senate approve the following modifications to the language on Transient Student Status in the Undergraduate Catalog.

**Proposed New Transient language:**
Students wishing to complete classes at another college or university to count towards their degree at West Georgia must maintain good standing at West Georgia. Prior to taking the course(s), students must complete a **Transient Status Permission Form**, which includes the signatures of their advisor, the chair of the department in which the credit shall be granted, and the dean/designee of their major college. It is each student's responsibility to consult the **Undergraduate Transfer Course Equivalents link**, or contact the Registrar’s Office to determine if the course will be accepted as transfer credit at UWG and count toward a given degree. Transient status is given for one semester at a time, and students must have the other college send a transcript of the courses taken to the Registrar at West Georgia in order to receive credit for the work. For final term transient status restrictions, see **Graduation Policies** in the Undergraduate Catalog.

*Motion approved.*

B) The Academic Policies Committee requests that the Faculty Senate approve two new 200-minute time slots to be available beginning in the Fall 2012 semester. These slots would also be available for shorter class periods.

   a. Fridays, 9-12:20
   b. Fridays, 1-4:20

*Motion approved.*

C) The Academic Policies Committee requests that the Faculty Senate approve a new **External Researcher Policy**

*Motion approved.*

**Committee V: Faculty Development Committee (Chair, Gary Schmidt)**

**Action Item:**
A) The committee request that the Faculty Handbook Section 104.04 (Evaluation of Academic Deans) be replaced (See **Addendum**)

*Motion approved.*

B) The committee requests that the Faculty Handbook Sections 103.01 to 103.05 (Tenure and Promotion) be replaced. In addition, the current 103.06 should be deleted up to the beginning of 103.06.01.
CONCERNS:
- No info about ratings but language about ratings.
- B. Sethna: “Prerogative of the Department and not the Departmental Committee to understand the rules”.
- Salary issues associated with Promotion and Tenure
- Horvath: There may be a reason for a person to apply for P/T in year 4 on campus but it is not universal.
- Horvath: Insert the word “after”—easy one word change.

Motion was not approved with a request was made to send the work back to the committee for revisions

Information Item:

A) The Provost has announced that funding for the LRC Faculty Research grants was cut in Spring 2011 and that these grants will not be offered this year. In response, the Faculty Development Committee has requested information from the colleges, the library, and the School of Nursing regarding past grant recipients and scholarly/creative work that resulted from those grants to assess the impact upon the institution of cancelling the grants.

5. New Business

A) Request for Faculty Senate feedback upon and then endorsement of the white paper developed by the UWG Online Degrees Task Force.

6. Announcements
   Pres. Sethna gave statements in regards to Penn State sexual harassment. If a student reports an incident to a faculty member regardless of hierarchy, that person sees the faculty member as a person of authority. Faculty and the University are “put on notice”. Faculty should report info to multiple sources-police, administrators, etc.

Meeting was adjourned.

Clint Samples, Senator
Designated Substitute Secretary
(Dawn McCord, Secretary)
Addendum
104.04 Evaluation of Academic Deans

104.0401 General Policy Statements

The Provost shall conduct annual reviews and periodic evaluations of academic Deans.

A. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to:

1. Guide the Provost in carrying out his or her responsibilities with regard to appointing, renewing, and/or terminating Deans of academic units, and to facilitate the professional development of those Deans.

2. Ensure that faculty and staff participate in the evaluation of their academic Deans.

3. Ensure Deans are afforded due process in the evaluation.

4. Afford all appropriate constituencies the opportunity to provide input.

5. Clarify the process of assembling the Review Committee, and the procedures for how it shall conduct the periodic evaluation.


B. Definitions

1. For the purposes of this policy, an Academic Dean is one who carries a title of Dean, bears responsibility for an academic unit containing faculty members, and reports to the Provost.

2. In Sections 104.04, 104.05, and 104.06, a unit refers to a college, school, or the library.

104.05 Annual Reviews of Deans

104.0501 General Policy Statement

The Provost shall review the performance of Deans reporting to him or her annually. The following characteristics of that process shall be common to all units.

104.0502 Procedures
A. Interval of Annual Review: before the conclusion of each fiscal year.

B. Purpose and Objectives: the purpose of annual reviews of Deans is to improve the effectiveness of the unit administered, including its contribution to the effectiveness of other units and the institution as a whole. The overall objectives are:

1. To review goals and accomplishments of the Dean and unit supervised, especially as these relate to the continuing mission and strategic goals of the institution.

2. To review the Dean’s job description and responsibilities, as well as the organization of the unit.

3. To review the level of resources and other support provided to the Dean and unit.

4. To discuss concerns and opportunities and to plan for changes that may be warranted or desirable.

C. Components of the Annual Review:

1. Feedback. The Provost shall direct the annual review process. Faculty members and staff, whenever possible, may be asked to provide input.

2. Self report. Each Dean under review shall provide the Provost a brief written report:
   a. Listing initiatives and professional activities undertaken during the review period.
   b. Listing achievements, areas in need of improvement, and efforts related to those areas, as well as future plans and goals for the unit.
   c. Indicating any changes that seem warranted in the Dean’s job description.

3. Conference with the Provost. The conference will be an occasion to discuss the feedback received, the Dean’s and the Provost’s views, and future plans and goals for the unit.

4. Dean’s Annual Review Letter. The Annual Review Letter shall be shared with the Dean and placed in his or her personnel file. The Dean may issue a written response to this document, which shall also be retained in the file.

104.06 Periodic Evaluations of Deans

104.0601 General Policy Statement
Procedures for the periodic evaluation of Deans shall be guided by three essential principles: shared governance, impartiality, and transparency. The procedures enumerated below seek to realize these principles.

A. Interval of Periodic Evaluation:

The first periodic evaluation of an academic Dean shall cover a full three-year period occurring in the Dean’s fourth year of appointment. Thereafter, periodic evaluations shall cover a full four-year period and occur every five years. All periodic evaluations begin in the Fall semester and conclude in the Spring semester of one academic year. Credit for service as an Interim Dean shall be determined by the Provost in consultation with the Dean at the time of permanent appointment. After the first periodic evaluation the Provost may initiate an evaluation of a Dean at any time, but shall explain its necessity and appropriateness. Refer to Table 1 below for a sample periodic evaluation sequence.

Table 1. Sample Periodic Evaluation Sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointment Year</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Evaluation Year</th>
<th>Evaluation Review Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Purpose and Objectives:

1. To provide the faculty and administration with information on the performance of academic Deans who report to the Provost, both annual reviews and periodic evaluations shall be practiced.

2. The periodic evaluation will help guide the Provost in carrying out his or her responsibilities with regard to appointing, renewing, and/or terminating Deans of academic units and facilitate the professional development of those Deans.

3. To this end, a Review Committee shall be charged with collecting information about the performance of an academic Dean. Findings of the Review Committee shall supplement information from other sources (e.g., Annual Review Letters, unit financial documents) to provide the Provost with a comprehensive record of the Dean’s performance.

C. Timeline of Evaluation:
1. The Provost shall notify the Dean of the pending evaluation and appoint the Chair of the Review Committee in the Fall semester.

2. Within five working days of receiving the Provost’s notification, the Dean under evaluation notifies the faculty and staff of his or her unit of the pending evaluation.

3. Within five working days of receiving the Provost’s appointment, the Chair of the Review Committee shall call for the election of six faculty members from within the unit led by the Dean. Refer to section 104.0601(D)(3) for guidance on the manner in which the Review Committee members shall be elected.

4. The Review Committee will provide its Evaluation Report to the Dean no later than February 28th of the academic year during which the evaluation is conducted.

5. The Dean has the right to review and respond to the Review Committee’s Evaluation Report no later than March 28th.

6. The Review Committee’s Evaluation Report and the Dean’s response shall be forwarded to the Provost no later than March 30th.

7. The Chair of the Review Committee presents the results of the Dean’s Evaluation Report to the faculty of the Dean under evaluation no later than April 30th.

8. In the event that the dates in this timeline fall on a weekend or holiday, the documents are due the following business day.

D. Composition of Review Committee:

1. The Review Committee will be composed of seven members.

2. A Review Committee Chair, who is a senior faculty member from outside the unit led by the Dean being evaluated. The Provost shall appoint the Review Committee Chair. The Chair of the Review Committee shall receive one course reassigned time.

3. Six faculty members from within the unit led by the Dean, one of which must be a department chair. The faculty governance body from the unit led by the Dean under evaluation determines the manner in which the committee members shall be elected. In the case of a unit that does not have an elected faculty governance body, the faculty at large of the unit determine the manner in which the committee members shall be elected.

4. The Provost and the Dean under evaluation shall have the right to object to the inclusion of a member of the committee. Both parties shall each be allowed only one objection.
5. No person with a conflict of interest may serve as a member of the Review Committee. All personal and professional conflicts of interest must be revealed to and reviewed by the Review Committee Chair prior to the selection of faculty to serve on the Review Committee. Such conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, personal and professional interactions and relationships that would preclude dispassionate, disinterested, correct, complete, and unbiased participation in these matters. Spouses, immediate family members, and colleagues with an intimate personal relationship with the Dean are explicitly prohibited from participation.

E. Review Committee Procedures:

1. The Review Committee meets with the Provost and then with the Dean to be evaluated. At these meetings, the Review Committee:
   a. Outlines the timeline for review and the evaluation criteria.
   b. Requests relevant information to be considered during the evaluation. At this time, the Provost and the Dean may specify topics, questions, or concerns for the Review Committee to consider in making its evaluation, as well as particular individuals whose input would contribute to a complete review.
   c. Informs the Provost and the Dean of:
      1. Their right to object to one member of the Review Committee, which shall trigger the search for a new member.
      2. The right to communicate with the Review Committee throughout the evaluation process. That is, the Committee must guarantee the Provost and the Dean the right to provide input at any time during the evaluation.

2. The Review Committee shall notify the faculty of the Dean under review of the procedures guiding the evaluation process and how the principles of shared governance, impartiality, and transparency shall be realized.
   a. The notification shall include information about data collection, administration of the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, how the identity of participants will be protected from unnecessary disclosure to the extent allowed by applicable law, and the Review Committee’s guarantee to grant full access to anyone wishing to provide input at any time during the evaluation, unless a significant conflict of interest can be demonstrated.
   b. Among its procedures, the Review Committee must administer the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire to the Dean’s constituency. The Dean’s constituency shall include, but not be limited to, Vice Presidents, Deans, Directors, the faculty and staff of the unit, the faculty governance body of the unit, and any other individuals who interact with the Dean on a regular basis.
c. In addition to the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, the Review Committee shall gather information related to the topics, questions, and concerns noted by the Provost and Dean in their initial meetings.

F. Components of the Evaluation:

1. Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria should be based on the duties specified in Article III, Section 2 of the Policies and Procedures of the University of West Georgia and the By Laws of the unit of the Dean under evaluation.

2. Evaluation Report

The Review Committee shall produce an Evaluation Report of its findings, which shall be descriptive in nature. The Evaluation Report shall not include interpretations of the findings, nor recommendations regarding personnel actions. The Evaluation Report shall include, but not be limited to, the following sections:

Introduction
   a. Purpose of the evaluation.
   b. Description of how the principles of shared governance, impartiality, and transparency have been realized through the process.
      1. Description of the procedures that guided the composition of the Review Committee.
      2. Disclosure of conflicts of interest, if any, and how they were handled.
      3. Discussion of the timeline of the evaluation.

Methodology
   a. Data collection efforts (e.g. description of the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, distribution methods, response rate).
   b. Procedures to protect the identity of participants from unnecessary disclosure to the extent allowed by applicable law.

Results
   a. Descriptive analysis of data from the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire.
   b. Descriptive summary of additional data collected.

Conclusion
   a. Purpose of the evaluation (briefly revisited).
   b. Timeline for the next periodic evaluation, per guidelines in Table 1 in Section 104.0601.

G. Post-Evaluation Conference with the Faculty. The Chair of the Review Committee shall present the Evaluation Report to the faculty of the unit no later than April 30th.
104.0602 Dean Evaluation Questionnaire

The Review Committee shall use the following questionnaire to evaluate the Dean. However, each unit may include additional context-specific items to the instrument. Additional items must be placed at the end of the questionnaire in a new section labeled Unit Specific Items. Please tell us, what is your role at UWG?

A. Faculty  
B. Staff

In your role as faculty or staff, please rate the Dean on the following questions related to leadership, faculty and program development, fairness and ethics, communication, and administration. Please use the following scale to help with your answer:

1 = Strongly Agree; 2 = Agree; 3 = Somewhat Agree; 4 = Neither Agree Nor Disagree; 5 = Somewhat Disagree; 6 = Disagree; 7 = Strongly Disagree; 8 = Unable to Judge.

Leadership
The Dean…
1. articulates a clear vision for the future of the unit.
2. involves the faculty in developing plans for the unit.
3. demonstrates a commitment to intellectual integrity and the pursuit of knowledge.
4. demonstrates administrative leadership of the unit.
5. is a professional role model for the unit.
6. weighs the opinions of all segments of the unit.

Faculty and Program Development
The Dean…
7. promotes a favorable environment for individual faculty development.
8. emphasizes teaching in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises.
9. emphasizes service in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises.
10. emphasizes professional growth and development in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises. (Note: each unit should adapt item #10 to reflect its P & T standards. For example, replace the term “professional growth and development” with “scholarship.”)
11. encourages creative approaches to teaching, research, and program development.
12. is responsive to the educational needs of the region when developing new programs.
13. supports student learning outcomes in work related to faculty and program development.

Fairness and Ethics
The Dean…
14. treats all members of the unit fairly irrespective of age, race, color, religion, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or veteran status.
15. respects views that are contrary to his or her own views.
16. exhibits high ethical standards in his or her official duties.
17. strongly encourages high ethical professional standards for all members of the unit.
18. exercises sound judgment in matters relating to faculty promotion and tenure.
19. exercises sound judgment in matters relating to staff hiring and promotion.
20. arbitrates disputes among faculty, staff, and department heads fairly.
21. affords departments opportunities to explain their resource needs.
22. affords all members of the unit opportunities to explain their individual needs and concerns.

Communication
The Dean…
23. welcomes constructive criticism from all members of the unit.
24. creates an environment where individuals are free to communicate without concern of rejection or reprisal.
25. provides feedback in a constructive manner.
26. is well-informed about my department’s accomplishments, challenges, and future plans.
27. communicates changes affecting all the members of the unit in a timely manner.
28. recognizes and expresses appreciation for the accomplishments of all members of the unit.
29. fosters and maintains positive external relationships.

Administration
The Dean…
30. uses administrative procedures that are clear and unambiguous for promotions, tenure, merit raises, leave, and other personnel actions.
31. exercises sound judgment in appointing associate and assistant Deans.
32. attends to administrative matters in a timely fashion.
33. conducts productive meetings.
34. handles concerns from all members of the unit well.
35. makes administrative decisions that facilitate improvement of the undergraduate programs.
36. makes administrative decisions that facilitate improvement of graduate programs.
37. integrates planning, assessment, and budgeting when making decisions.
38. is transparent about the unit’s budget.
39. makes evidence-based decisions.
40. is a team player.
Open Ended Items
41. In your opinion, what are the Dean’s strengths and/or contributions?
42. In your opinion, what are the Dean’s weaknesses?
43. Please present any further comments you think would be helpful to the Dean in carrying out the academic mission of the school.
44. Please present any further comments you think would be helpful to the Provost.

Unit Specific Items
Units may use Likert scale or open-ended items; regardless, the items should begin with number 45. Units that opt to use a Likert scale must employ the same response options used in items 1-40.