

Annual Evaluation

Name _____

Rank _____

Department _____

Review Period _____

Date Submitted _____

Track (teaching, research, administrative) _____

Note for evaluations below: the % indicates workload for each of the three areas and should sum to 100%. The workload % for each area of the faculty evaluation should match the corresponding % for each area of the supervisor evaluation. Student success is considered holistically and has no % of workload. The overall evaluation should be weighted based upon the workload percentage for each area.

Faculty self-evaluation (1-5 for each area)

1: Does Not Meet Expectations | 2: Needs Improvement | 3: Meets Expectations | 4: Exceeds Expectations | 5: Exemplary

	%	(1-5)
Teaching	_____	_____
Professional development, scholarship, creative activity, research	_____	_____
Service	_____	_____
Student Success	_____	_____
OVERALL (<i>weighted based upon % workload</i>)		_____

Supervisor evaluation of faculty (1-5 for each area)

1: Does Not Meet Expectations | 2: Needs Improvement | 3: Meets Expectations | 4: Exceeds Expectations | 5: Exemplary

	%	(1-5)
Teaching	_____	_____
Professional development, scholarship, creative activity, research	_____	_____
Service	_____	_____
Student Success	_____	_____
OVERALL (<i>weighted based upon % workload</i>)		_____

Department Chair _____

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Faculty _____

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____